1	
2	
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT MINUTES
5	
6	
7	
8	MEETING OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
9	BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE
10	SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Wednesday, March 18, 2020 10:00 a.m.
16	10.00 a.m.
17	
18	
19	3360 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102
20	in the Executive Video Conference Room
21	
22	
23	
24	

ĺ	
1	
2	APPEARANCES
3	
4	For the Board:
5	Cecilia Meyer (phone) Board Vice Chair, Member
6	
7	Suhair Sayegh (phone) Board Member
8	Sharolyn Wilson (phone) Board Member
9	
10	Donald Bordelove, Esq. (phone) Deputy Attorney General Board Counsel
11	Board Counser
12	For the Division of Industrial Relations:
13	Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. (phone) Counsel for DIR
14	
15	For the Administrator of the DIR:
16	Vanessa Skrinjaric (Las Vegas) Compliance Audit Investigator
17	Division of Industrial Relations Workers' Compensation Section
18	workers compensation section
19	Also Present:
20	Marisa Mayfield (phone)
21	Kasey McCourtney (phone) CCMSI
22	Kim Price, Esq. (phone)
23	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith
24	

			-
1			
2			
3		I N D E X	
4	ITEM	PAGE	
5	1.	Roll Call	5
6	2.	Public Comment 7	7
7	3.	Approval of Agenda For Possible Action	7
8		FOI POSSIBLE ACCION	'
9	4.	Approval of Minutes for February 19, 2020 For Possible Action	}
10	5.	Action on a Recommendation of the Administrator of the Division of Industrial Relations for	
11		Approval of the following request(s) for reimbursement from the Subsequent Injury	
12		Account for Self-Insured Employers	
13		a. 053-WC-18-0000200 University Medical Center	
14		For Possible Action	}
15	6.	Action on a Recommendation of the Administrator of the Division of Industrial Relations for	
16		Approval of the following supplemental request(s) for reimbursement from the Subsequent Injury	
17		Account for Self-Insured Employers	
18		a. 11C52B492221 City of Henderson	
19		For Possible Action 17	7
20		b. 12G28Y027465 NV Energy	
21		For Possible Action)
22		c. 10C52B373680 City of Henderson	
23		For Possible Action 21	-
24		d. 95388T288921	

1		Silver State Disposal For Possible Action	24
2			4
3		e. 900075857 Cyprus Foote Min. now Albemarle Corp. For Possible Action	28
4	7.	Approval of Draft Decision of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Determination of the	
5		Board, concerning Venetian Casino Resort, Claim No. 140380000228	
6		For Possible Action	29
7	8.	Additional Items:	
8		a. General Matters of Concern to Board Members Regarding Matters Not Appearing on the	
9			37
10		b. Old and New Business	38
11		c. Schedule of Next Meeting. The following dates have been scheduled in advance but are	
12		subject to change at any time: April 22, 2020; June 17, 2020; July 22, 2020; August 19	,
13		2020; September 23, 2020; October 21, 2020; November 10, 2020; and December 16, 2020.	
14			38
15	9.	Public Comment	39
16	10.	Adjournment For Possible Action	39
17			<i>J J</i>
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2 4			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 18, 2020,
6	10:00 A.M.
7	-000-
8	MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Cecilia, go right
9	ahead.
10	VICE CHAIR MEYER: All right. This is the
11	Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury
12	Account for Self-Insured Employers.
13	We'll begin with roll call. Vanessa, can you
14	call the names out?
15	MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So present in
16	Las Vegas, this is Vanessa Skrinjaric representing the
17	Division of Industrial Relations.
18	And I'm going to call roll. Everybody is on
19	the phone. So I will start with the current Vice Chair,
20	Cecilia Meyer.
21	BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Here.
22	MS. JOYCE SMITH: Good morning. This is Joyce.
23	MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. I'm going to hang on
24	one second.

1 Hi, Joyce. Joyce, your board meeting is 2 actually tomorrow. MS. JOYCE SMITH: Oh, good. 3 MS. SKRINJARIC: So we are calling roll for the 4 5 other board. So if you want to go ahead and get off, Joyce, we will talk tomorrow. 6 MS. JOYCE SMITH: Thank you. Will do. 7 MS. SKRINJARIC: All right. Thank you. 8 9 Hang on one second. Marisa, is that you? MS. MAYFIELD: Yes, it is. Thank you. 10 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So I'm calling roll. 11 So I'll go ahead. That is Marisa. She is representing 12 item 5.a. So, Marisa, you are present. I will continue 13 on with roll. 1 4 All right. So Suhair? 1.5 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: Yes, this is Suhair 16 Sayegh with the Clark County School District. 17 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Sharolyn Wilson? 18 19 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: Yes, this is Sharolyn Wilson with Washoe County. 20 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Christopher Eccles? 21 MR. ECCLES: Good morning. Present. 2.2 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Kasey McCourtney? 23 MS. MCCOURTNEY: Present. 24

1 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Donald Bordelove? MR. BORDELOVE: Present. 2 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. And Kim Price? 3 I'm here. Thank you. Present. MR. PRICE: 4 5 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. And, I think, I have everybody. Is that correct? 6 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Yes. 7 MS. SKRINJARIC: All right. Great. All right. 8 9 Go ahead, Cecilia. BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. This is the 10 time for public comment. The opportunity for public 11 comment is reserved for any matter listed below on the 12 agenda as well as any matter within the jurisdiction of 13 the Board. No action on such item may be taken by the 1 4 Board unless and until the matter has been noticed as an 1.5 action item. Comment from the public is limited to 16 17 three minutes per person. Do we have anybody from the public present? 18 MS. SKRINJARIC: We do not. 19 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. We'll move on 20 21 to the approval of the agenda. Did everybody get a copy of the agenda for today's meeting? 2.2 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: Yes. 23 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. 24 Yes.

1 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. I'll take a motion to accept or to approve the agenda. 2 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I will 3 make the motion to approve the agenda for the 4 5 March 18th, 2020 meeting. BOARD MEMBER WILSON: And this is Sharolyn. 6 I'll second that motion. 7 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Will everybody in 8 9 agreement say "aye." (Board members said "aye.") 10 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: 11 Thank you. Okay. We'll move on to the approval of the 12 minutes from the February 19th, 2020 meeting. Did 13 everybody get a copy of those minutes? 1 4 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: 1.5 Yes. BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. Yes. 16 17 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. I'll take a motion to accept the minutes. 18 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I'll 19 20 make the motion to approve the minutes from the 21 February 19th, 2020 meeting. BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. I will 2.2 second that motion. 23

24

BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All in favor, say "aye."

1	(Board members said "aye.")
2	BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. We'll move on
3	to item five. This is action on a recommendation of the
4	Administrator of the Division of Industrial Relations
5	for approval of the following requests for reimbursement
6	from the Subsequent Injury fund, Account for
7	self-insured employers. This is item 5.a., claim number
8	0583-WC-18-0000200, for University Medical Center.
9	Vanessa.
10	MS. SKRINJARIC: Are there any disclosures?
11	BOARD MEMBER MEYER: I have none.
12	BOARD MEMBER WILSON: I have none.
13	MS. MAYFIELD: I have none.
14	BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. I have
15	none.
16	MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.
17	BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: Suhair. I have none.
18	MS. SKRINJARIC: Thank you.
19	It is the Administrator's recommendation to
20	accept this request pursuant to NRS 616B.557 for the
21	lumbar spine.
22	The total amount requested for reimbursement is
23	\$10,995.78. It is noted that numerous Explanation of
24	Review were submitted with this application indicating

- 1 additional funds expended that were not submitted in the
- 2 request for reimbursement. Therefore, these amounts
- 3 were not considered in this request. In the future,
- 4 please do not submit items you are not requesting for
- 5 reimbursement in your application. The amount of
- 6 verified costs is \$8,236.53. An explanation of the
- 7 disallowance is attached to this letter.
- This request was received from Dalton L. Hooks,
- 9 Jr., Esq., of Hooks, Meng & Clemant on January 28th,
- 10 2020.
- 11 This employee was hired on August 1, 1996 as a
- 12 | certified nursing assistant. The prior history is taken
- 13 from a November 9, 2016 permanent partial disability
- 14 report penned by Dr. Ward Wagner as no prior medical
- 15 records were submitted for review.
- 16 On or about May 27, 2015, the employee was
- 17 | checking on a patient who was in a bed when the patient
- 18 grabbed her right arm and she fell backward onto her
- 19 back onto the concrete floor. She was seen at the Quick
- 20 | Care with a diagnosis of lumbar sprain/strain.
- On June 11, 2015, the employee saw
- 22 Dr. Bassewitz who noted lumbar pain which radiated into
- 23 the left anterior thigh. He ordered an MRI.
- On July 1, 2015, the MRI noted circumferential

- 1 bulge at L5-S2 without significant central or foraminal
- 2 | narrowing; multilevel facet arthrosis, most pronounced
- 3 at L5-S1, moderate, mild facet arthrosis at L3-4 and
- 4 L4-5; no significant central or foraminal narrowing at
- 5 any level; cholelithiasis noted incidentally; and lowest
- 6 lumbosacral vertebral body is fully sacralized with
- 7 | sacralized transverse process and a well-formed disc
- 8 between this and the lower sacral segment, considered
- 9 S1.
- The employee began physical therapy. The
- 11 employee then requested a transfer of care to
- 12 Dr. Lipshutz in October 2015 after Dr. Bassewitz deemed
- 13 | her to be nonsurgical. Dr. Lipshutz noted a prior
- 14 | work-related injury about a year ago which had resolved
- 15 prior to this injury. Dr. Lipshutz performed a
- 16 | bilateral lumbar medial branch block at L2, 3, 4 and 5.
- 17 He stated she had lumbar facet syndrome. In February
- 18 2016, Dr. Lipshutz performed a left lumbar medial branch
- 19 | radiofrequency ablation at L2, 3, 4 and 5. On
- 20 February 10, 2016, Dr. Lipshutz released her to full
- 21 duty.
- 22 On November 9, 2016, Dr. Ward determined that
- 23 | the employee had a 14 percent whole person impairment
- 24 utilizing the range of motion method. He apportioned

- 1 | 50 percent, 7 percent whole person impairment, to
- 2 pre-accident degenerative changes in the lumbar spine,
- 3 | leaving a 7 percent whole person impairment to the 2015
- 4 accident.
- The employee returned to work for the employer.
- On August 24, 2017, the employee was assisting
- 7 | a patient in the bathroom when an altercation occurred
- 8 and the employee twisted her back. She did not go to
- 9 the Quick Care for treatment until August 28, 2017. She
- 10 was diagnosed with a lumbar strain.
- 11 Medical reporting will be taken from the
- 12 | September 11, 2018 PPD evaluation penned by Dr. Razsadin
- 13 except as otherwise noted.
- On September 5th, 2017, the patient saw
- 15 Dr. Dunn who ordered an MRI. On October 16, 2017,
- 16 Dr. Dunn noted the MRI results were: no acute findings
- 17 | identified; mild lumbar spine degenerative changes; no
- 18 overall significant change since her prior study; no
- 19 | high-grade canal or foraminal stenosis; mild right
- 20 | foraminal narrowing at L3-4 slightly progressed.
- 21 Dr. Dunn ordered physical therapy.
- The employee had one visit of physical therapy
- 23 on November 1, 2017. She didn't begin physical therapy
- 24 again until December 27, 2017. Physical therapy

1 | continued until February 23rd, 2018.

1.5

2.2

At some point, prior to the end of 2017, the employee retired.

On February 12, 2018, Dr. Dunn determined the employee had reached maximum medical improvement. He determined she was stable and not ratable. He also released her to full duty.

On September 11, 2018, Dr. Razsadin performed a PPD examination and found the employee fell into DRE Category II for the lumbar spine. He recommended a 5 percent whole person impairment.

This was placed in abeyance as the TPA did not send Dr. Razsadin records of the 2015 injury.

On October 25th, 2018, Dr. Razsadin penned an addendum after receiving medical records of the 2015 injury. He maintained the employee should receive 5 percent whole person impairment.

On November 5th, 2018, Dr. Razsadin penned a second addendum after receiving the PPD report from the 2015 injury which the TPA neglected to send him twice. He determined that the employee was entitled to receive 16 percent whole person impairment less the prior 14 percent whole person impairment from the 2015 injury, resulting in a net 2 percent whole person impairment for

1 | the 2017 injury.

1 4

1.5

2.2

The employee did not take the 2 percent whole person impairment in a lump sum. This submission consists of the initial payment and monthly installments from October 2018 to January 31, 2019.

It is noted that TTD and PPD were paid incorrectly at the lower 84-day daily rate of \$98.49 rather than the recalculated one-year period daily rate of \$104.74.

The employee had a prior industrial injury in 2015 for which she was rated at 14 percent. This was apportioned by 50 percent for degenerative conditions. The subsequent injury in 2017 required doctor's visits and physical therapy for six months. It also resulted in an additional 2 percent whole person impairment. While the applicant did not submit a doctor's analysis regarding the combined effects, other than Dr. Razsadin's PPD report addressing apportionment, the Administrator believes that absent the prior industrial and nonindustrial conditions the subsequent injury would have resulted in no more than a conservative course of care.

Therefore, NRS 616B.557, subsection 1, has been satisfied.

1 The employee was rated at 14 percent whole person impairment under her May 2015 claim for the 2 lumbar spine. This was apportioned by 50 percent, 3 leaving a net 7 percent whole person impairment. 4 Therefore, NRS 616B.557, subsection 3, has been 5 satisfied. 6 The employer provided the following written 7 records to show knowledge of permanent impairment: 8 9 One, claim notes from TPA CorVel Enterprises 12/1/16 to 8/5/2018. Of particular note is an uploaded 10 email from Kimberly Buchanan, Clark County District 11 Attorney's Office, to Kathy Mone, claims adjustor, on 12 March 24th, 2017 which states, quote, "We got order 13 7 percent PPD plus cost eval. Order will be 14 forthcoming. Said 14 percent PPD which was apportioned 1.5 to 7 percent didn't justify apportionment so to consider 16 17 ourselves lucky," end quote, page 29. Number two, June 8th, 2017 Decision and Order. 18 19 This has a date and time stamp from the D.A. Civil of June 18, 2017 at 11:39 a.m. This Decision and Order 20 states the employee is to receive a 7 percent PPD 21 2.2 rating. The Clark County District Attorney's Office, 23 Civil Division, represents Clark County in its workers' 24

compensation administrative appeals. In this employee's 1 case, Ms. Buchanan represented Clark County in the 2 employee's appeal of the closing of her claim without a 3 PPD rating. 4 5 The documents listed above show the District Attorney's Office had possession of a decision and order 6 in which the employer had knowledge of a 7 percent whole 7 person impairment. 9 Therefore, NRS 616B.557, subsection 4, has been satisfied. 10 Subsection 5 does not need to be satisfied in 11 order for this claim to be considered for reimbursement 12 since the date of injury is after the October 1, 2007 13 change in the requirements of the statute. 1 4 That is all I have on this claim. 1.5 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you, Vanessa. 16 Does the representative have anything to add? 17 MS. MAYFIELD: No, I do not. 18 19 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. I will open it to a motion if -- does any of the Board members have 20 21 questions?

No.

BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. I do

BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH:

2.2

23

24

not.

1 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I do not, either. 2 3 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. I will accept a motion. 4 5 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. I will make a motion to accept the Administrator's 6 recommendation regarding claim number 7 0583-WC-18-0000200, for the University Medical Center. 9 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I'll 10 second that motion. Oh, for the dollar amount, Board 11 members, if we can just clarify the dollar amount, for \$8,236.53. 12 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you. 13 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: Thank you, Suhair. 1 4 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: You're welcome. 1.5 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All in favor, say "aye." 16 (Board members said "aye.") 17 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: And the ayes have it. 18 19 Did I lose everybody? BOARD MEMBER WILSON: I'm still here. Sorry 20 about that. 21 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: No. I'm still here. 2.2 23 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. It was silent there for a long time. 24

1 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: Okay. Are we moving on to the next one, or where are we at? 2 3 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Yes, we're ready to move. BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: 4 Okay. 5 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: We're ready to move on to item 6.a., which is claim number 11C52B492221, for City 6 of Henderson. 7 Does anybody have disclosures? 9 This is Cecilia Meyer with City of Carson City, 10 and CCMSI is our third-party administrator, but that will not affect my decision today. 11 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: And this is Sharolyn 12 Wilson with Washoe County. CCMSI is also our 13 third-party administrator. But that will not affect my 1 4 decision today. 1.5 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Any other disclosures? 16 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: No. 17 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. Okay. 18 19 Vanessa. MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. It is the 20 21 Administrator's recommendation to accept this third 2.2 supplemental request pursuant to NRS 616B.557 for the left shoulder. 23 The total amount requested for reimbursement is

1 \$8,576.52. The amount of verified costs is \$8,576.52. 2 This request was received from CCMSI on February 12th, 2020. This claim was originally approved 3 by the Board on August 27, 2014. 4 5 This request contains payment for PPD installments from January 2019 to December 2019 in the 6 monthly amount of \$714.71. 7 That's all. 9 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Do any of the Board 10 members have any questions? BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: No. 11 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. No. 12 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. I'll take a 13 motion. 1 4 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I'll go 1.5 ahead and make the motion to accept this third 16 17 supplement request for claim number 11C52B482221 in the amount of \$8,576.52. 18 19 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. I'll second that motion. 20 21 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Everybody in favor, say "aye." 2.2 (Board members said "aye.") 23

24

BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. We'll move on

- 1 to item 6.b. This is claim number 12G28Y027465 for
- 2 Nevada Energy.
- I have the same disclosure as previously
- 4 regarding CCMSI. This is Cecilia Meyer.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn Wilson.
- 6 I also have the same disclosure regarding CCMSI.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. No
- 8 disclosure.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you.
- 10 Vanessa.
- 11 MS. SKRINJARIC: This is Vanessa. It is the
- 12 | Administrator's recommendation to accept this third
- 13 | supplemental request pursuant to NRS 616B.557 for the
- 14 | lumbar spine only.
- The total amount requested for reimbursement is
- $16 \mid \$44,251.09$. The amount of verified costs is \$41,314.34.
- 17 | An explanation of the disallowance is attached to this
- 18 letter.
- 19 This request was received from CCMSI on
- 20 February 12th, 2020. This claim was originally approved
- 21 by the Board on March 18, 2015 for the lumbar spine.
- 22 This request contains the following: office
- 23 | visits with Dr. Berman's office from March 5th, 2019
- 24 through November 19, 2019; office visits with

1 Dr. Lewandowski's office from February 11, 2019 through August 21, 2019; physical therapy from April 12, 2019 2 through May 29, 2019; cervical facet injections on 3 March 25th, 2019, April 1, 2019 and May 3rd, 2019; 4 5 lumbar trigger point injections on June 3rd, 2019; bilateral SI joint injections under fluoroscopic 6 guidance on June 24th, 2019; bilateral lumbar facet 7 injections under fluoroscopic guidance on July 15, 2019; 9 drug screening on September 10, 2019; prescriptions from February 7, 2019 through January 29th, 2020; permanent 10 total disability payments from April 1, 2019 through 11 January 31, 2020. This employee is eligible for a cost 12 of living increase pursuant to NRS 616C.473 after a 13 10 percent reduction for prior paid PPD lump sums 14 pursuant to NRS 616C.440. The 2020 monthly payment 1.5 amount is \$3,385.28, after offset. 16 17 In his November 19, 2019 report, Dr. Berman's office noted the patient's current pain level as a 7 out 18 19 of 10 with medication. Her symptoms were currently 20 unchanged. The patient's recent brain scan was 21 unremarkable, although she complains of persistent cervicogenic migraines. A trial Ajovy injection was 2.2 started. The cervical is not an accepted body part in 23 the subsequent injury claim. 24

That's all. 1 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you. 2 Board members, do you have any questions or 3 comments? 4 5 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: No. BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. No. 6 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. I'll take a 7 motion. 9 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. 10 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: This is Suhair. Oh, go ahead, Sharolyn. 11 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: I'll make a motion to 12 accept the Administrator's recommendation regarding 13 claim number 12G28Y027465 in the amount of reimbursement 1 4 for \$41,314.34. 1.5 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I will 16 17 second that motion. BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All in favor, say "aye." 18 (Board members said "aye.") 19 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. We will move 20 on to item 6.c., which is claim number 10C52B373680 for 21 City of Henderson. Third-party administrator is CCMSI. 2.2 This is Cecilia Meyer. I have the same 23 disclosure as the last claim for CCMSI. 24

1 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn Wilson, and I also have the same disclosure regarding CCMSI. 2 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. 3 Nο disclosure. 4 5 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you. Vanessa. 6 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. This is Vanessa. It is 7 the Administrator's recommendation to accept this 9 seventh supplemental request pursuant to NRS 616B.557 for the heart. 10 The total amount requested for reimbursement is 11 \$55,394.67. The amount of verified costs is \$55,394.67. 12 This request was received from CCMSI on 13 February 12th, 2020. The claim was originally approved 1 4 by the Board on December 19th, 2013. This request 1.5 contains payment and reporting for the following 16 expenses: office visits with Dr. Sirulnick on 17 November 2nd, 2018 and October 30th, 2019; ECG with 18 Dr. Sirulnick on November 2nd, 2018; and permanent total 19 disability payments from January 1, 2019 through 20 21 January 31, 2020 in the 2020 monthly amount of \$4,334.27. This employee is eliqible for a yearly COLA 2.2 pursuant to NRS 616C.473. 23

24

In his October 30th 219 report, Dr. Sirulnick

- 1 noted the patient had episodic palpitations. However,
- 2 he felt well, had no limitations and was still active in
- 3 kayaking on the lake. The patient would return for
- 4 evaluation in six months.
- 5 Signed Permanent Total Disability Report Forms,
- 6 D-14, for the years 2018 and 2019 were provided with
- 7 this submission.
- 8 That is all.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you.
- Board members, are there questions or comments?
- BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. I have
- 12 none.
- 13 | BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: I have none.
- 14 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you. I'll take a
- 15 motion.
- 16 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This time, we're both
- 17 | silent. This is Suhair. I'll go ahead. I'll go ahead
- 18 and make a motion to accept the seventh supplement for
- 19 | claim number 10C52B373680 for the City of Henderson in
- 20 the amount of \$55,394.67.
- BOARD MEMBER WILSON: And this is Sharolyn. I
- 22 | will second that motion.
- BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All in favor, say "aye."
- 24 (Board members said "aye.")

1 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. We will move on to item 6.d., which is claim number 95388T288921 for 2 3 Silver State Disposal. This is Cecilia Meyer. I have the same 4 5 disclosure regarding third-party administrator CCMSI. BOARD MEMBER WILSON: And this is Sharolyn 6 Wilson. I also have the same disclosure regarding 7 CCMSI. 9 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So the last --BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This --10 MS. SKRINJARIC: Go ahead. Sorry. 11 12 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: That's okay. Suhair. No disclosures. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you. 1 4 1.5 Vanessa. MS. SKRINJARIC: Sure. The last two items have 16 been continued from prior Board meetings due to the 17 issue --18 19 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Oh, okay. MS. SKRINJARIC: -- due to the issue of 20 21 decertification. So I will go ahead and read them into the record. 2.2 It is the Administrator's recommendation to 23 accept this ninth supplemental request pursuant to NRS 24

1 616B.557 for the left knee.

2 The total amount requested for reimbursement is

- 3 \$127,887.45. The amount of verified costs is
- 4 \$127,743.45. An explanation of the disallowance is
- 5 attached to this letter.
- This request was received from CCMSI on
- 7 July 26, 2019. This claim was originally approved by
- 8 | the Board on June 22nd, 2000 for the left knee. The
- 9 insurer decertified on June 29th, 2001.
- 10 This request contains the following: permanent
- 11 | total disability payments from September 1, 2013 through
- 12 | May 21, 2019 in the monthly amount of \$1,822.44; IME
- 13 | with Dr. Quaglieri on May 14, 2019; and travel for IME
- 14 on May 13 to 14, 2019.
- 15 On November 24, 2015 the Administrator
- 16 recommended that no additional benefits be reimbursed
- 17 until the employee was re-evaluated to confirm his
- 18 permanent total disability status concerning the left
- 19 knee. The Administrator also requested the physician
- 20 address the left knee as it relates to his permanent
- 21 total disability and absent any nonindustrial
- 22 | conditions, would be still be considered to have a
- 23 permanent disability. Dr. Quaglieri evaluated the
- 24 employee on May 14, 2019 and determined that the

- 1 employee continued to be permanently totally disabled
 - 2 | for both industrial and nonindustrial problems with no
- 3 | significant change in his circumstances since the last
- 4 review in 2010.
- And that is all on this claim.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: So, Mr. Bordelove, I have
- 7 | a question. If this employer is decertified, if I
- 8 | recall correctly, the Board had previous discussions
- 9 about whether or not we could allow any payments on this
- 10 particular decertified employer. Can you give us some
- 11 | guidance?
- 12 MR. BORDELOVE: I don't remember those
- 13 discussions. I don't think I was counsel at that time.
- 14 | So I don't know if Vanessa --
- 15 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: No, you weren't.
- MR. BORDELOVE: -- knows what those were. Can
- 17 | you refresh me on what those were, or?
- 18 MS. SKRINJARIC: Do you want me to refresh you
- 19 on the discussions? Is that the --
- MR. BORDELOVE: Yes.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Yes, that would be great.
- 22 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So the question that
- 23 | the Board is asking is, once a self-insured employer is
- 24 decertified, they no longer pay assessments into the

- 1 fund. So what the Board is questioning is, if they are
- 2 no longer paying assessments, are they then eligible for
- 3 reimbursement from the fund?
- 4 MR. BORDELOVE: There's been no prior
- 5 determination --
- 6 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you, Vanessa.
- 7 MR. BORDELOVE: Sorry. I got to...
- 8 MS. SKRINJARIC: So that is, that is their
- 9 question.
- 10 MR. BORDELOVE: So no prior determination was
- 11 | made on that?
- MS. SKRINJARIC: No.
- MR. BORDELOVE: I'd have to research that. I
- 14 | wouldn't want to make a reaction decision to it.
- 15 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Then, I believe, it would
- 16 be proper for the Board to continue this particular item
- 17 until counsel can do that research.
- 18 | MS. MCCOURTNEY: This is Kasey. Can I just
- 19 have a -- maybe some sort of end line on that? Because
- 20 | I know that this was last year, and it's been -- we
- 21 | haven't had an answer for quite a few months. So I
- 22 | just...
- MS. SKRINJARIC: Absolutely.
- MS. MCCOURTNEY: Thanks.

1	BOARD MEMBER MEYER: So where are we at?
2	MR. BORDELOVE: It sounds like I mean, off
3	the top of my head, I would think, as long as the
4	employer was certified prior, when the claim occurred,
5	it shouldn't be a problem. But it's possible there
6	might be even something like apportionment. So I want
7	to research that just to make sure.
8	BOARD MEMBER MEYER: I agree. So do I need to
9	take a motion on that, then, for the continuance?
10	MR. BORDELOVE: No. No, you can just continue
11	it on your own initiative.
12	BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. Well, it's my
13	recommendation, then, that we continue until you are
14	able to research that.
15	Are we okay with that and ready to move on to
16	Cyprus?
17	MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So I can go ahead and
18	read Cyrpus Foote in. However, the issue with Cyprus
19	Foote is Cyprus Foote is also decertified.
20	BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. Well, then, in
21	that case, Mr. Bordelove, is it necessary that Vanessa
22	read it into the record, or can we continue that, can we
23	continue this claim as well to allow you to research
24	that same issue?

1 MR. BORDELOVE: Yes. Yeah, you can just 2 continue it. BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. All right. We'll 3 continue, then, we will continue Cyprus, Cyprus as well. 4 5 MR. PRICE: If I may? BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Please. 6 MR. PRICE: I have no problem not reading this 7 recommendation into the record, because when it 9 ultimately is voted on, it will be read into the record 10 at that time. So, by all means, please don't make Vanessa read that into the record on my account. 11 12 you. BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. Thank you. 13 Wе appreciate that, Mr. Price. 14 All right. Well, that concludes the individual 1.5 claims at this time. So we'll move on to item 7, the 16 17 approval the Draft Decision of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, Determination of the Board 18 concerning Venetian Casino Resort, claim number 19 140380000228. 20 21 MR. PRICE: Thank you for your time. Good day. MS. SKRINJARIC: Thank you, Mr. Price. 2.2 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Price. 23 This is Donald Bordelove, Board MR. BORDELOVE: 24

- 1 counsel. I can give you a brief overview, or if you
- 2 | want to just make a motion, whatever. It's your
- 3 preference.
- BOARD MEMBER MEYER: If you don't mind doing a
- 5 brief overview, I would appreciate that.
- 6 MR. BORDELOVE: Sure. So this, this decision
- 7 was heard de novo in November of 2019. It's one of the
- 8 | holdover ones. So, as you all know, I wasn't counsel at
- 9 that time. So I reviewed the transcript. I reviewed
- 10 | all the documents and the minutes everything in drafting
- 11 | the decision, to pretty much just parallel what all of
- 12 | your discussion was and deliberations from that meeting.
- The Board denied the claim based on the
- 14 | applicant's failure to satisfy the requirements of
- 15 | 616B.557(1) and 616B.557(4).
- 16 In August 2013, the employee slipped on some
- 17 oil and shampoo while cleaning a shower. She injured
- 18 her back. The MRI showed some right disc bulging,
- 19 annular tears, some degenerative disc disease. The
- 20 employee saw a doctor. The PPD evaluation found a
- 21 | 5 percent whole person impairment along with a 1 percent
- 22 | for loss of daily living activities, for a total of
- 23 6 percent.

24

In regards to the present claim, in September

- of 2014, the employee was lifting a sofa, sofa bed when she suffered back pain in her lower back. X-rays were unremarkable. She had another MRI, which showed multilevel disc bulges, some foraminal stenosis greatest at L5-S1. It was noted that there was no significant change in appearance since the previous exam back in
 - A second opinion was obtained by Dr. Flangas, who suggested it was just a reexacerbation of the initial injury which occurred in 2012.

2013.

1 4

1.5

2.2

- The employee started seeing Dr. Kong, did some physical therapy which didn't help her. Had EMG nerve conduction studies. They were incomplete due to the employee's overreaction. The employee underwent an FCE in March 2015. And Dr. Kong felt she had reached MMI and was stable and ratable.
 - In April 2015, the employee underwent a PPD with Dr. Lovass. He found a 5 percent whole person impairment less the prior 6 percent PPD, leaving a net 0 percent impairment. After questioning from the employee's counsel, the doctor submitted an addendum finding 10 percent whole person impairment less the 6 percent, leaving a net 4 percent whole person impairment. The insurer requested a second PPD. In

1 June 2015, Dr. Duffy found 20 percent WPI less the prior 6 percent, leaving a net 14 percent. The insurer 2 disputed this percentage and requested a third one. Ιn 3 September, Dr. Choi found 11 percent WPI less the 4 5 6 percent, leaving a net of 5 percent. The insured offered this amount but the employee didn't accept it, so installments were paid. In February 2016, a fourth 7 PPD was obtained. Dr. Thompson found a 15 percent WPI 9 less 6 percent, leaving a net of 9 percent, and this was 10 accepted by a lump sum. You're all well-familiar with the requirements of 11 616.557(1). The employee's original 2013 injury was a 12 left L3-4 and right L5-S1 annular tear, L3-4 and L5-S113 degenerative disc disease, and subjective lower 14 extremity. Her 2014 MRI was compared to the 2013 MRI 1.5 which was essentially unchanged. The employee did not 16 17 undergo vocational rehabilitation because of the FCE invalid result. Due to the invalid FCE, Dr. Kong 18 19 released the employee to full duty. Her claim closed 20 eight months after she first sought treatment. 21 employee's subsequent lumbar injury occurred after she worked for less than a month in her full-duty job. 2.2 subsequent injury consisted of six months of minimal 23 visits to medical providers, medication and physical 24

therapy, an MRI, FCE and EMG studies. No total
temporary disability was paid on this claim.

2.2

The employee's subsequent injury resulted in no more an aggravation of her prior degenerative lumbar condition requiring a conservative course of treatment. Her second injury resulted in less treatment than the first one. The extent of the original injury was never fully explored due to the invalid FCE. No medical opinion was provided in this request to state that absent the employee's first injury, the costs of the subsequent claim were substantially greater than would have resulted from the subsequent injury alone.

As the Board discussed in that meeting and with prior counsel, while a medical opinion is not required for the applicant to meet its burden, the Board found that based on the facts of the case, as detailed, the applicant to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the subsequent disability was substantially greater by reason of the combined effects of the preexisting impairment and the subsequent injury than which would have resulted from the subsequent injury alone. So that provision was not satisfied.

Next, in regards to 616B.557(4), which is the knowledge provision, as indicated in the North Lake

1 Tahoe Fire Protection District case, the employer satisfied the written record requirement by showing that 2 the employee's preexisting condition could reasonably be 3 due to one of the conditions recognized by the statute 4 even if the employer cannot precisely identify the 5 specific medical condition. In other words, employer is entitled to reimbursement if it produces a written 7 record from which its prior knowledge of the employee's 9 qualifying disability can fairly and reasonably be 10 inferred. As such, the employee's preexisting permanent physical impairment, which is recognized by statute, 11 must be fairly and reasonably inferred from the written 12 record. 1.3

The employee, excuse me, the employer submitted a letter dated September 11, 2018, after the subsequent injury and multiple PPDs, in which they allege knowledge of the prior 6 percent WPI. The October 2014 claim acceptance letter was enclosed as well as the March 23rd PPD award letter. There is nothing on those to indicate it was in the employer's possession, such as no stamp of receipt. The letters simply indicated a cc to Venetian. There was no address or specific location. As the Board found previously, there was no presentation of witnesses to lay any foundation in this regard. Further, there

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

was no written record of the expenditure on the claim by
the TPA sent to the employer.

1 4

1.5

2.2

As the Board previously discussed with the prior counsel, NRS 47.250 lists disputable presumptions, including that a letter duly directed and mailed was received in the regular course of business. However, the Board found this presumption did not apply as it was failed to be shown that the letter was duly directed and mailed. And then I put citations in the order indicating that that's required.

As the Board previously discussed, the statute is plain and unambiguous that knowledge of the permanent physical impairment at the time the employee was hired or that the employee was retained in employment after the self-insured employer acquired such knowledge. As such, the employer must provide written documentation that it had knowledge of the permanent physical impairment before the subsequent injury, as held by the Lake Tahoe case as well as the Holiday case. However, as indicated, the applicant failed to meet his burden. And as such, that requirement was not satisfied as well. And as such, the Board denied the claim.

BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Thank you.

So are we -- oh, I see. Okay. I'm with us

1 now. So we're going to take a motion on the approval of the draft decision. Is that my correct understanding? MR. BORDELOVE: Correct. 3 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. Great. Well, then, 4 5 does the Board have any questions or any comments regarding this draft? BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn, and I 7 do not. 9 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I do 10 not. BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. Then, I'll 11 take a motion on the approval of the Draft Decision of 12 13 Findings of Fact. BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. I will 1 4 make a motion that the Board approve the Draft Decision 1.5 of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and 16 17 Determination of the Board, concerning the Venetian Casino Resort, claim number 140380000228. 18 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I will 19 second that motion. 20 21 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Everybody in favor, say "aye." 2.2 (Board members said "aye.") 23

BOARD MEMBER MEYER: So with that, this

1 decision will be finalized and mailed out. Is that correct? MR. BORDELOVE: Correct. It'll be served on 3 all parties. And then they'll have --4 5 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Sure. MR. BORDELOVE: -- the requisite period of time 6 to appeal to the district court if they so choose. 7 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. Thank you very 8 9 much. MR. BORDELOVE: Of course. 10 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. Then, we will 11 move on to item 8, additional items, general matters of 12 concern to the Board members regarding matters not 13 appearing on the agenda. 1 4 Does anybody have any concerns? I think that 1.5 we should discuss the resignation of Chair, former 16 17 Chairperson Amy Wong. MS. SKRINJARIC: Well, that only -- this is 18 19 Vanessa. That only leaves three members on a five-person board. So if anybody knows of anyone who is 20 21 qualified, I strongly encourage you to ask them to apply. Obviously, with the -- the Governor, of course, 2.2

is probably very swamped right now. But that would be

great if they could apply.

23

1 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: I agree. It appears that -- if I remember right, prior to Suhair and 2 Sharolyn coming onto the Board, when we've had only 3 three Board members previously, I believe that if 4 somebody was going to be on vacation or was not able to 5 attend a Board meeting, we either had to change that date or cancel that particular Board meeting. 7 MS. SKRINJARIC: I think, until we get another 8 9 Board member, that is going to have to occur. So when 10 we get to item 8.c., we'll have to go through that again, because I don't remember if there were going to 11 be people out. 12 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. Perfect. 13 All right. Then, item 8.b., old and new 14 business. Is there any other old or new business 15 anybody want to discuss? 16 17 MS. SKRINJARIC: The only new business I have -- this is Vanessa -- is that I forwarded to 18 everyone the regulations that were finally passed after, 19 20 I think, eight years. So, yay. 21 So some of the things that we've all been discussing just anecdotally in terms of like no stacking 2.2 of body parts, they're finally in regulation. So that's 23 good news. 24

1 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Great. Thank you. All right. Item 8.c., scheduling of the next 2 meeting. Vanessa, do you want to go over those dates 3 that you're showing? 4 5 MS. SKRINJARIC: Sure. So, currently, I have April 22nd, June 17th, July 22nd, August 19, 6 September 23rd, October 21, November 10 and December 9. 7 And I honestly do not recall if any of the three 9 remaining Board members have any conflicts. Sorry. 10 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Does anybody have any conflicts for any of those dates? 11 BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. Not that 12 1.3 I know of. BOARD MEMBER WILSON: This is Sharolyn. 1 4 only concern would be the month of July. My daughter is 1.5 going to have a baby. So I'm just not sure what that 16 17 month looks like for me. So I will just have to keep you informed as that develops. 18 19 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. Perfect. 20 you, Sharolyn. 21 All right. We'll move on to eight nine, public comment. The opportunity for public comment is reserved 2.2 for any matter within the jurisdiction of the Board. No 23

action on such an item can be taken by the Board unless

1 and until the matter has been agendized as an action item. Comment from the public is limited to three 2 minutes per person. 3 Is there any public in attendance who wish to 4 5 speak? MS. SKRINJARIC: There is no public here. 6 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Okay. Thank you. 7 If there's nothing else, then I will take a 8 9 motion for adjournment. BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: This is Suhair. I will 10 go ahead and make that motion to adjourn this meeting. 11 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: And this is Sharolyn. I 12 1.3 will second that motion. BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All in favor, say "aye." 1 4 (Board members said "aye.") 1.5 BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. 16 MS. SKRINJARIC: All right. Thank you guys 17 very much. 18 19 Cecilia, I will be sending you the payment memos. If you could please sign and scan them back to 20 21 me, I would very much appreciate it. BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Absolutely. 2.2 MS. SKRINJARIC: All right. Thank you guys 23 very much for all of your time that you give to the 24

1	Board.	Take care.
2		BOARD MEMBER MEYER: All right. Thanks so
3	much.	Bye-bye.
4		BOARD MEMBER SAYEGH: Thank you.
5		BOARD MEMBER WILSON: Thank you.
6		MR. BORDELOVE: Thank you.
7		BOARD MEMBER MEYER: Bye.
8		-000-
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		